

**Board of Public Works  
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, May 5, 2020

1

1 The Meeting of the Board of Public Works of the City of Onalaska was called to order at 6:33  
2 p.m. on Tuesday, May 5, 2020. It was noted that the meeting had been announced and a notice  
3 posted at City Hall.

4

5 Roll call was taken with the following members present: Mayor Kim Smith, Ald. Diane Wulf,  
6 City Engineer Jarrod Holter, Financial Services Director/Treasurer Fred Buehler

7

8 Also Present: City Administrator Eric Rindfleisch, Assistant City Engineer Kevin Schubert, Ald.  
9 Dan Stevens, Ald. Tom Smith

10

11 Excused Absence: Ald. Steven Nott

12

13 **Item 2 – Approval of minutes from previous meeting**

14

15 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Wulf, to approve the minutes from the previous meeting as  
16 printed and on file in the City Clerk’s Office.

17

18 On voice vote, motion carried.

19

20 **Item 3 – Public Input (limited to 3 minutes/individual)**

21

22 Mayor K. Smith called for anyone wishing to provide public input.

23

24 **Dan Stevens, Second District Alderperson**

25 **1708 Jennifer Court**

26 **Onalaska**

27

28 “I am addressing this committee today as I have received three independent requests from three

29 different constituents regarding a concern that they had with the yard waste bins and the fact it

30 was replacing a container that they had previously used. The previous yard waste bins that they

31 had were large and bulky, and they didn’t know how to dispose of it. In the past, it had been

32 discussed, or I had reached out with City Engineer Jarrod Holter, and he had cited that in the

33 current circumstances there were some difficulties with making a drop-off spot where people

34 could maybe relieve themselves of their large bins. With COVID-19 and needing to maintain

35 social distancing, and having to man this and have it so bins didn’t come with waste in it ...

36 Also, there is a cost mechanism that kind of made this difficult. Today I happened to have a

37 discussion with the City Administrator. We were talking about this issue, and I asked about what

38 we might be able to do to do this. I would ask whoever is elected as Chair going forward to

39 consider putting on the agenda for review and consideration on next month’s agenda the idea

40 which is simply that perhaps we could have Harter’s have an additional pickup so it would be

41 similar to the Christmas tree pickup where there would be a specific day that they would go

42 around and pick up the yard waste bins. There would be some cost to this, but it would also be a  
43 benefit to the city, and I think it's a nice gesture seeing as how the yard waste bins were  
44 essentially put on them. Whether that happens or not, there are issues that need to be discussed  
45 [such as] how feasible is it, what's the cost, how do you disseminate the information to the  
46 public, and would there be enough public buy-in to make it worthwhile to do? I believe that it is  
47 at least worthy of discussion.”

48

49 Mayor K. Smith called three times for anyone else wishing to provide public input and closed  
50 that portion of the meeting.

51

52

**Consideration and possible action on the following items:**

53

**Item 4 – Election of:**

54

A. Chair

55

56 Ald. Wulf noted she is the only alderperson who does not currently serve as chair on any of the  
57 standing committees and asked board members to consider her to serve as the Board of Public  
58 Works Chair.

59

60 Motion by Jarrod, second by Fred, to elect Ald. Diane Wulf as Chair of the Board of Public  
61 Works.

62

63 On voice vote, motion carried.

64

B. Vice Chair

65

66 Motion by Fred, second by Mayor K. Smith, to elect Ald. Steven Nott as Vice Chair of the Board  
67 of Public Works.

68

69 On voice vote, motion carried.

70

**Item 5 – Resolution 24-2020 – Resolution for authorizing participation in the Wisconsin  
71 Water and Wastewater Agency Response Network (WIWARN)**

72

73 Jarrod referred to the information pertaining to WIWARN included in board members' packets  
74 and described the group as being “very beneficial.” Jarrod said that while he hopes the city  
75 never needs WIWARN's assistance or needs to provide assistance, the agreement before board  
76 members this evening would facilitate that work. Jarrod read the following sentence from the  
77 “Welcome to the Wisconsin WARN Site:” *“The agreement spells out how liability (also  
78 indemnification), workers' compensation, insurance and reimbursement will work,”* and he told  
79

**Board of Public Works  
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, May 5, 2020

3

83 board members if the City of Onalaska offered its assistance to another community, “those items  
84 are already spelled out.” Jarrod said, “If we get a call from a group that wants assistance, or if  
85 we need assistance, it’s an emergency and we’re trying to get work done, now we don’t have to  
86 worry about getting the City Attorney involved and making sure we have agreements. We have  
87 all that covered in the agreement.

88  
89 Sometimes you get the question of, do you need to have an agreement if it’s voluntary? Being  
90 voluntary, it is up to the city whether we would want to participate. If we get an emergency at  
91 the same time as another area and they request assistance, we do not have to give assistance. But  
92 there are pieces of equipment the City of Onalaska has, but there are other pieces of equipment  
93 we do not have that would be good to possibly share with other communities. I think this is a  
94 good thing. Hopefully we never have to use it, but I think being prepared and having it in our  
95 toolbox if we ever would have an emergency would really help facilitate our response to an  
96 emergency.”

97  
98 Ald. Wulf noted there is no cost to become a member of WIWARN.

99  
100 Jarrod told Ald. Wulf she is correct and noted City Attorney Amanda Jackson had drafted the  
101 resolution off the sample and examine the agreement.

102  
103 Motion by Mayor K. Smith, second by Fred, to approve Resolution 24-2020 – Resolution for  
104 authorizing participation in the Wisconsin Water and Wastewater Agency Response Network  
105 (WIWARN).

106  
107 On voice vote, motion carried.

108  
109 **Item 6 – Review and consideration of chemical rehabilitation of Well #9 including quotes**  
110 **for completion of work**

111  
112 Jarrod noted a copy of the proposal from Andrew Jacque of Water Quality Investigations to  
113 perform chemical rehabilitation of Well No. 9 was included in board members’ packets. Jarrod  
114 said the chemical rehabilitation would be very similar to the process that occurred in 2019 at  
115 Well No. 8. The project would include cleaning the screen, the gravel pack, and the  
116 underground areas around the well. Jarrod said CTW Corporation had submitted a quote of  
117 \$64,660, and he told board members Municipal Well & Pump had submitted a quote of \$75,940  
118 after the meeting packet had been sent out. Jarrod noted Municipal Well & Pump had performed  
119 the chemical rehabilitation at Well No. 8 in 2019, and also that the original price to perform that  
120 rehabilitation was approximately \$28,000. However, Jarrod also told board members the final  
121 cost was approximately \$53,000 after the project was completed. Jarrod said, “I think the work  
122 that was done and the work that was bid this is very similar. The work that was done previously  
123 last fall, we did not envision as many chemical treatments as we needed. That’s the reason there

**Board of Public Works  
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, May 5, 2020

4

124 are more chemical treatments included within the quote you see in front of you tonight.”

125

126 Jarrod said there were plans to treat another well in 2020; however, “we did not put this in the  
127 2020 [Capital Improvements] Budget for the \$30,000 that we’d envisioned this project costing.”

128 Jarrod noted there is a line item in the budget for a permanent orthophosphate addition for the  
129 city’s well facilities. Jarrod said, “We are not at a point at this time where we’re going to  
130 permanently add that orthophosphate. We are still adding it on a temporary basis currently. That  
131 project is getting dragged out with our corrosion control study and trying to figure out what the  
132 DNR will approve for a final implementation. That project will not be done in 2020. At the  
133 earliest, it would be 2021. We actually had \$60,000 in the approved budget for that project. I  
134 would like to move those funds from that project over to the Well No. 9 cleaning project at a cost  
135 of \$64,660. The difference can be withstood within the operating budget of the Water Utility.  
136 It’s staff’s recommendation to go with CTW Corporation in the amount of \$64,660.” Jarrod also  
137 noted that CTW Corporation has worked in the past with Water Quality Investigations, adding  
138 that CTW Corporation, which is based in De Pere, does not do much work in western Wisconsin.

139

140 Ald. Wulf asked Jarrod if the city has worked with CTW Corporation in the past.

141

142 Jarrod told Ald. Wulf the city has not worked with CTW Corporation in the past. However,  
143 Jarrod also said he has spoken with Andrew Jacque and noted CTW Corporation has worked on  
144 two different projects with Andrew at state penitentiary facilities. Jarrod said, “Just looking at  
145 what they have for capabilities and staffing manpower, I feel they are very capable of doing the  
146 work.”

147

148 Motion by Mayor K. Smith, second by Fred, to approve CTW Corporation for the chemical  
149 rehabilitation of Well #9 in an amount not to exceed \$64,660.

150

151 Kevin told board members he has previous experience with CTW Corporation in water-related  
152 fields at his previous employment. Kevin assured board members that CTW Corporation is a  
153 reputable firm.

154

155 On voice vote, motion carried.

156

157 **Item 7 – Review and consideration of bids received for 2020 City Hall Roof Replacement**  
158 **project**

159

160 Jarrod told board members this project is currently out for bid and said it has been delayed due to  
161 the COVID-19 pandemic. Jarrod said the bid will be opened Tuesday morning, May 12, with the  
162 Common Council meeting that evening. Jarrod told board members there are no bids to consider  
163 this evening and noted that a copy of the notice to contractors has been included in their packets.  
164 Jarrod said five contractors had attended the mandatory pre-bid meeting.

165  
166 Kevin told board members the five contractors had come to City Hall earlier Tuesday and said  
167 both he and Buildings Manager Brian Babiash had escorted them to two locations: access from  
168 the access door above the Planning and Engineering Department, and the access door to the roof  
169 above the Fire Department stairwell. Kevin described the five contractors as being “interested  
170 bidders” and said he expects competitive bids.

171  
172 Jarrod said staff will attempt to email the bids prior to the May 12 Common Council meeting.

173  
174 **Item 8 – Update on Crestwood Booster Station Project**

175  
176 Jarrod told board members there was a fire at the booster station on April 23 and noted the  
177 station still was under the contractor’s care. Jarrod said staff is working with both the contractor  
178 and the contractor’s insurance companies. Jarrod said, “We have not accepted any items yet at  
179 the building. I just wanted to alert the board. It will probably be anywhere from a two- to six-  
180 month delay depending on what items are seen there.”

181  
182 Kevin told board members he had been invited to a meeting April 28 with the general contractor,  
183 the contractor’s builders risk insurance company, and the contractor’s general liability insurance  
184 company. Kevin said the two different insurance company representatives took photographs of  
185 the site and got the layout of the site, and he told board members some investigation work also  
186 was completed. Kevin said, “As it currently stands, the general contractor’s insurance company  
187 is not allowing them to do any abatement in the facility. The Fire Department used firefighting  
188 foam to assist with the extinguishing of the fire. That foam is actually still on the floor inside  
189 there. We have all the vents open to the building, but it is still an insurance investigation scene.  
190 The next meeting I am aware of is there is a meeting with the builders risk insurance of the  
191 general contractor ... I believe that meeting will be held May 20 at 10 a.m. I am going to attend  
192 that meeting. It is my understanding at that time the builders risk insurance company may  
193 actually remove what I’ll call evidence from the location. Hopefully after that meeting they will  
194 have a good idea of how the fire was caused and we will begin abatement and procedures with  
195 slowly finishing the project.

196  
197 I think Jarrod’s timeframe is correct. I’m hoping that sometime in June we can start finishing  
198 some things [such as] a little bit more of the outside and hopefully bringing this project back in  
199 completion and operation for the city.”

200  
201 Jarrod told board members no homes have been started that need to be connected to the booster  
202 stations, and he said he hopes the station will be in service when it is needed. Jarrod also told  
203 board members he will be bringing forward a change order for the louvres for the emergency  
204 generator either at the June 2 or the July 7 Board of Public Works meeting. The louvres were  
205 sized too small, and Jarrod said staff is facilitating a redesign of the louvres so there is adequate

206 airflow into the facility that will allow the generator to operate at the proper temperature.

207

208 **Item 9 – Review and consideration of 2020 Unidirectional Flushing Plan update**

209

210 Jarrod said the city’s first unidirectional flushing plan was completed in 2007, and he told board  
211 members that over five years the city had invested a significant amount of funding in having that  
212 plan done. Jarrod explained that if Water Department employee is flushing a fire hydrant, he is  
213 turning on one fire hydrant and drawing the water out of one location as it comes through the  
214 system. Jarrod said there are a set number of valves in the plan, and these valves all build upon  
215 one another. Once three valves are closed and the Water Department employee flushes out of a  
216 certain hydrant, he opens another valve and closes two more and flushes out of a different  
217 hydrant. Jarrod said this allows minimum velocities to scour a pipe, and this ensures that it is  
218 being cleaned adequately. Jarrod said this method is better than flushing the hydrant itself as the  
219 water system is cleaned more thoroughly. Jarrod said, “With the system being anywhere from  
220 13 to 10 years old, the studies that we’ve had done, we’d like to update those studies. There  
221 have been a lot of construction projects, which has been a good thing. But valves change due to  
222 those construction projects. We’ve added some different-sized mains.” Jarrod cited the example  
223 of the main located along State Trunk Highway 35 that was replaced and is now a different size  
224 with different velocities. Jarrod next referred to the project currently underway in the Sunset  
225 Vista neighborhood and noted some main sizes are being changed.

226

227 Jarrod directed board members’ attention to their packets and noted Short Elliott Hendrickson  
228 had submitted an estimate to do the water model update and all five zones of the unidirectional  
229 flushing system at a cost of \$31,400. Jarrod referred to the 2020 Water Utility Budget and noted  
230 he had included \$10,000 for the unidirectional flushing plan. However, Jarrod also pointed out  
231 the water model upon which the unidirectional flushing plan is based has not been updated for  
232 several years. Jarrod said it is necessary to spend approximately \$3,500 to address all the  
233 changes that have been made to the water system model. Jarrod said the cost to address Zone 4,  
234 Zone 2, and Zone 3, and also complete the water model update, would be \$18,200. Jarrod said,  
235 “I really would like to do those three zones, especially including that Zone 3, because Zone 3 is  
236 everything east of [State Trunk Highway] 157. That’s everything out in the far extremities of the  
237 water system where our water age is higher. If we did what I consider Phase One on your sheet  
238 tonight, we’d be at \$18,200 and we would budget the other \$13,200 for 2021.”

239

240 Mayor K. Smith inquired about the source of the \$18,200 for this project.

241

242 Jarrod told Mayor K. Smith that items such as this one are included in the Equipment  
243 Replacement portion of the budget because they are a one-time expense. Jarrod said \$10,000  
244 was set aside for the unidirectional flushing. Jarrod noted other items had come in under budget  
245 and said, “I think if you look at the thousands of dollars within that Equipment [Replacement]  
246 Budget, I think we would be able to sustain the extra amount with this and cleaning from Well

247 No. 9 and be fine. ... I think we'd be within what we have."

248

249 Ald. Wulf asked Fred if he feels comfortable with what Jarrod had just explained.

250

251 Fred said yes.

252

253 Motion by Mayor K. Smith, second by Fred, to approve Short Elliott Hendrickson for Phase One  
254 of the 2020 Unidirectional Flushing Plan update at a cost not to exceed \$18,200.

255

256 Fred asked Jarrod if it also includes the modeling.

257

258 Jarrod said Phase One includes the water model update, and Zone 4, Zone 2, and Zone 3 of the  
259 unidirectional flushing.

260

261 On voice vote, motion carried.

262

263 **Item 10 – Review and consideration of 2020 Wastewater Feasibility Study**

264

265 Jarrod said the city worked with SEH on the initial look at its wastewater system, and the city  
266 and SEH also had conducted a rudimentary discussion on what the city could do with its waste.  
267 Jarrod referred to the supplemental letter agreement included in board members' packets and  
268 noted there are two primary components:

269

- 270 • What rates the city currently pays for wastewater treatment, and what it would cost the  
271 City of Onalaska for wastewater treatment.
- 272 • Examining the feasibility of how the city's wastewater is treated, and what the city would  
273 do with that.

274

275 Jarrod said, "I think this gives us a lot of good information from an engineering standpoint of  
276 what we would need to move forward with any kind of wastewater treatment if we decided to do  
277 so."

278

279 City Administrator Rindfleisch said, "It's really the two-phase portion there – on the low end,  
280 what the rates should be, and on the high end what they could potentially be. That gives the  
281 Council and this committee some room to decide on your future that way. The second  
282 component of this really goes back to that first number. We have accepted in this timeframe the  
283 rates for 2020 and 2021. There is a penalty rate for 2022 being applied that I would recommend  
284 that the Council at that point reject it and then go to arbitration through the PSC [Public Service  
285 Commission]. But you need to have a rate that you would then present as an alternative. This  
286 work, beyond giving you sort of that range, will also generate a rate that could be used in  
287 arbitration that would likely be starting in August of 2021. It's very useful information, and it's

**Board of Public Works  
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, May 5, 2020

8

288 important to have. If they just signed the agreement we wouldn't need this expenditure, but this  
289 is what we need to know since they refuse to sign an agreement for the treatment of the sewage  
290 itself."

291

292 Ald. Wulf asked if funding for this item has already been approved, and she also asked if the  
293 board is only approving the engineering proposal this evening.

294

295 Jarrod said this would be for the \$24,500 that appears on the second page of the proposal.

296

297 City Administrator Rindfleisch told Ald. Wulf it was not yet budgeted and said he believes the  
298 board is being asked not only to approve the agreement, but also the expenditure. City  
299 Administrator inquired about the funding source.

300

301 Fred said the funding source would be the budgetary line item for the La Crosse charges, or the  
302 outside contractual account Fund 290 within the Sewer Utility.

303

304 City Administrator Rindfleisch said the direction given to city staff was to seek a proposal to  
305 obtain these figures, which has been done, and he noted no funds have been expended as of yet.  
306 City Administrator Rindfleisch said, "We're asking that we can expend the money, and here is  
307 the proposal."

308

309 Mayor K. Smith asked if there were funds within the Capital Improvements Budget for this item.

310

311 Jarrod said he is almost positive no funds were budgeted for this item in the 2020 CIB.

312

313 Ald. Wulf said she thought this had been approved at a past Common Council meeting within the  
314 last several months.

315

316 City Administrator Rindfleisch said there was an initial expenditure of a smaller amount to  
317 perform a study.

318

319 Jarrod said there was an initial up to \$10,000 amount, and he told Ald. Wulf he believes  
320 approximately \$1,500 was expended for the initial work. Jarrod said this is a difficult item to  
321 budget for because the city is attempting to respond to conditions that have been changing.  
322 Jarrod also said, "We really need this work done to get a basis of how to move forward."

323

324 Motion by Jarrod, second by Fred, to approve Short Elliott Hendrickson to perform the 2020  
325 Wastewater Feasibility Study at a cost not to exceed \$24,500, to be paid for by the Sanitary  
326 Sewer Utility.

327

328 On voice vote, motion carried.

329

330 **Item 11 – Review and consideration of Change Order #1 for 2019 Cured In Place Pipe**  
331 **Project**

332

333 Jarrod noted the original approved contract was for \$503,125 and said staff requests a change  
334 order of \$27,243. Jarrod said 8-inch lines were added, as were 10-inch lines on Spruce Street  
335 and West Avenue. Jarrod told board members the city had obtained a favorable price on the  
336 sewer lining and said, “We sewer-lined those runs instead of doing them as part of the utility  
337 project.” Jarrod noted \$550,000 was originally budgeted for this project in the CIB and pointed  
338 out the total contract will be \$530,368.

339

340 Motion by Mayor K. Smith, second by Jarrod, to approve Change Order #1 for 2019 Cured In  
341 Place Pipe Project in the amount of \$27,243.

342

343 On voice vote, motion carried.

344

345 **Item 12 – Review and consideration of Sustainable La Crosse Commission report**

346

347 Mayor K. Smith shared the following:

348

- 349 • There had been discussions pertaining to attempting to restructure the commission, which  
350 has been meeting for the last eight to 10 years. Mayor K. Smith said the committee has  
351 done a significant amount of work improving sustainability measures in La Crosse  
352 County and the City of La Crosse, and she praised the collaboration efforts of the  
353 participating community members.
- 354 • The commission as it once existed has been dissolved and will not continue as it is now.  
355 The City of La Crosse has completely withdrawn from the commission, and Mayor K.  
356 Smith said she does not know how La Crosse County will proceed. Mayor K. Smith said  
357 the commission will still meet perhaps twice a year to collaborate and share ideas.
- 358 • The commission continues to work collaboratively on the “Go Solar Program.” Mayor  
359 K. Smith said that while she is part of this group, she is attempting to hand over her  
360 position to Ald. T. Smith. The Request for Proposals process has just ended, and a  
361 contractor’s services have been secured. Commission members are attempting to address  
362 the education portion for the program, which is similar to a buying co-op. Mayor K.  
363 Smith said that while there is no cost, “we’re all working together so our community  
364 members can group buy the solar services from the particular company we’re in the  
365 process of creating a contract with.” The company will bring forward educational  
366 opportunities for the community, contract with citizens, and then perform the work.  
367 Mayor K. Smith said the City of La Crosse, the City of Onalaska, and La Crosse County  
368 are providing the forum for this to happen and attempting to garner support so individuals  
369 may learn more about solar and perhaps purchase a system for their own home.

**Board of Public Works  
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, May 5, 2020

10

370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410

**Item 13 – Pay Estimates: Strand Associates, Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc., Hard Rock  
Sawing and Drilling, Visu-Sewer, McCabe Construction, Braun Interotec, Davy  
Engineering, MSA Professional Service, Olympic Builders, All-American Lumber, and any  
other contractor/developer**

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS  
MONTHLY ESTIMATES**

**May 5, 2020**

<b><u>Contractor</u></b>	<b><u>Original Contract Amount</u></b>	<b><u>Change Orders</u></b>	<b><u>Paid to Date</u></b>	<b><u>Due this Estimate</u></b>
<b>1. STRAND ASSOCIATES INC.</b>				
6 <sup>th</sup> & Quincy Lift Station Construction				
Estimate #12	\$ 33,000.00	\$ -	\$ 32,560.21	\$ 439.67
<b>2. STRAND ASSOCIATES</b>				
Court St. Lift Station Construction				
Estimate #9	\$ 24,300.00	\$ -	\$ 9,992.07	\$ 513.62
<b>3. OLYMPIC BUILDERS GENERAL CONTRACTORS</b>				
Crestwood Booster Station Construction				
Estimate #8	\$ 974,846.00	\$ 13,087.52	\$ 726,535.98	\$ 41,511.20
<b>4. STRAND ASSOCIATES</b>				
Stormwater Quality Management Plan Design				
Estimate #3	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	\$ 8,483.56	\$ 4,353.34
<b>5. SEH INC.</b>				
Green Coulee Reservoir Design – Final				
Estimate #2	\$ 97,000.00	\$ -	\$ 6,617.71	\$ 32,028.92
<b>6. BRAUN INTERTEC</b>				

Reviewed 5/7/2020 by Jarrod Holter

**Board of Public Works  
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, May 5, 2020

11

411	Green Coulee Reservoir Soil Boring				
412	Design				
413	Estimate #3	\$ 16,800.00	\$ -	\$ 12,705.00	\$ 365.00
414					
415	<b>7. ALL-AMERICAN LUMBER INC.</b>				
416	Public Works Facility Addition				
417	Construction				
418	Estimate #9	\$ 1,155,183.00	\$ -	\$ 1,086,945.92	\$ 41,144.29
419					
420	<b>8. VISU-SEWER, INC.</b>				
421	2019 Cured in Place Pipe Project				
422	Construction				
423	Estimate #2	\$ 503,125.00	\$ -	\$ 499,533.66	\$ 21,291.25
424					
425	<b>9. MSA PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</b>				
426	Green Coulee Intersection				
427	Design – Final				
428	Estimate #2	\$ 180,615.00	\$ -	\$ 3,696.69	\$ 7,473.74
429					
430	<b>10. MCCABE CONSTRUCTION, INC.</b>				
431	2020 Utility Project				
432	Construction				
433	Estimate #1	\$ 1,634,976.70	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 58,990.25
434					
435	<b>11. HARD ROCK SAWING &amp; DRILLING</b>				
436	Public Works Facility Addn.				
437	Construction				
438	Estimate #1	\$ 1,550.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,550.00
439					
440	<b>12. STRAND ASSOCIATES</b>				
441	General Engineering Services				
442	Construction				
443	Estimate #1	\$ 8,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,256.69
444					
445	<b>13. STRAND ASSOCIATES</b>				
446	Lift Station SCADA Graphics				
447	Construction				
448	Estimate #1	\$ 7,400.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,000.00
449					
450	<b>14. DAVY ENGINEERING</b>				
451	French Rd. Booster Station/Crestwood Ln.				

**Board of Public Works  
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, May 5, 2020

12

452 Design  
453 Estimate #18 \$ 126,490.00 \$ 76,010.00 \$ 221,798.68 \$ 585.69

454

455 **15. OLYMPIC BUILDERS GENERAL CONTRACTORS**

456 6<sup>th</sup> & Quincy Pumping Station

457 Construction

458 Estimate #12 \$ 989,678.00 \$ - \$ 896,443.75 \$ 11,254.90

459

460 Motion by Jarrod, second by Fred, to approve the 15 pay estimates listed on a document dated  
461 May 5, 2020.

462

463 On voice vote, motion carried.

464

465 **Adjournment**

466

467 Motion by Mayor K. Smith, second by Jarrod, to adjourn at 7:11 p.m.

468

469 On voice vote, motion carried.

470

471

472 Recorded by:

473

474 Kirk Bey