

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, September 1, 2020

1

1 The Meeting of the Board of Public Works of the City of Onalaska was called to order at 6:30
2 p.m. on Tuesday, September 1, 2020. It was noted that the meeting had been announced and a
3 notice posted at City Hall.

4
5 Roll call was taken with the following members present: Mayor Kim Smith, Ald. Diane Wulf,
6 Ald. Steven Nott, City Engineer Jarrod Holter, Financial Services Director/Treasurer Fred
7 Buehler

8
9 Also Present: City Administrator Eric Rindfleisch, City Attorney Amanda Jackson, Assistant
10 City Engineer Kevin Schubert, Ald. Dan Stevens, Ald. Tom Smith, Fire Chief Troy Gudie

11
12 **Item 2 – Approval of minutes from previous meeting**

13
14 Motion by Fred, second by Ald. Nott, to approve the minutes from the previous meeting as
15 printed and on file in the City Clerk’s Office.

16
17 On voice vote, motion carried.

18
19 **Item 3 – Public Input (limited to 3 minutes/individual)**

20
21 Ald. Wulf called for anyone wishing to provide public input.

22
23 **Dan Ferries**
24 **402 9th Avenue North**
25 **Onalaska**

26
27 “Thank you, folks, for allowing me to address an issue that I have. I would like to talk to you
28 tonight about Item 10 on the agenda, about the Capital Improvements Budget. I’m not in
29 concrete on capital improvement projects, but I would like to speak to you about the police
30 having body cameras. I had a sit-down with the [Police] Chief [Charles Ashbeck] a few months
31 ago, and I was surprised to find out that Onalaska doesn’t have it, but a lot of communities
32 around us do. Jean Lunde and myself have spoken to him [about] possibly [holding] a
33 fundraiser. But after giving that a little more thought with the political climate out there right
34 now, I’m not sure that’s the way to go. But after talking to the Police Chief week [and hearing]
35 he’d proposed it in the Capital Improvements Budget, that might be a better way to go. You
36 know, \$85,000 is a lot of money. Being an elected official [La Crosse County Board], as you
37 are, I know how tight the budgets are going to be. But some things are just good money spent.
38 Hopefully you folks will consider one way or another – either in the Capital Improvements
39 Budget or fast-streaming it, which would be a non-budgetary item and take the two-thirds or the
40 super-majority – I know sometimes those are a tough sell. But when you think about the
41 dynamics of what could happen ... We’ve been very fortunate in our community here that we

42 haven't had any issues. But \$85,000 would be a good start on attorney fees. Let's look at it as a
43 possible insurance policy that's going to have a long-term payback for us. Basically, I would ask
44 that you folks would consider supporting the body cameras. How the funding would come,
45 that's up to you folks. If you would consider it ... I know there is some talk about fast-streaming
46 it. That could be [an option]. Whatever you decide would be great. Jean Lunde and I have
47 talked to several people over the last week; I'm going to guess 30, and I'm by no means
48 exaggerating. They're very much in support of this for our community. With that said, thank
49 you for your time and thank you for your service. Please consider this. Thank you."

50

51 **Dan Stevens, Second District Alderperson**
52 **1708 Jennifer Court**
53 **Onalaska**

54

55 "I would like to build upon what Supervisor Ferries had said regarding under Item 10, Section V,
56 Item 50: Body cameras. I think given the political climate, this is something that I think the city
57 has some exposure in providing an extra level of accountability for the protection of the officers,
58 for protection of the citizen, and to help with the liability, this should be a priority. I know that
59 at this point we're just doing some of the initial conversations. However, the body camera policy
60 is something that is going to be a little bit more detailed, and it's going to take some effort in
61 ironing out. Hopefully if there is kind of a general consensus that this could be something that
62 we approach. We potentially could lay out some of the framework for what the policy regarding
63 body cameras should be so that in the event this doesn't pass through the Capital Improvements
64 Budget, which would be the optimal way to fund this, we can hit the ground running rather than
65 wait and spend two or three months determining when cameras have to be turned on, when they
66 should be turned off, how is the data stored, what happens if you have a witness who doesn't
67 want ... the whole host of things that could pop up which makes it so having a good policy in
68 place would be important. I'm hoping to start the ball rolling on that now rather than waiting for
69 everything to happen, which potentially could put us to the middle of next year, leaving us with
70 nine, 10 months of exposure. Thank you for your consideration. I'm endorsing supporting body
71 cameras, and I hope that this makes it through the cut."

72

73 Ald. Wulf called three times for anyone else wishing to provide public input and closed that
74 portion of the meeting.

75

76 **Consideration and possible action on the following items:**

77

78 **Item 4 – Review and consideration of a snowmobile trail within the City of Onalaska for**
79 **the 2020-21 winter season**

80

81 Jarrod noted board members' packets include a map of where the trail runs through the city.
82 This is a yearly request by the Coulee Sno-Drifters Snowmobile Club. This is the same route

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, September 1, 2020

3

83 that has been in place for several years. The route crosses State Trunk Highway 35 at the
84 intersection where both Dairy Queen and Kwik Trip are located, and it proceeds past the old City
85 Shop, the Great River Residences, and the Great River Landing before proceeding on the Great
86 River State Trail. Jarrod noted that in previous years the city has asked the Wisconsin
87 Department of Transportation for input on the intersection, and he said WisDOT's traffic
88 engineer had informed him not to be concerned until someone from WisDOT contacts the city as
89 the city was not doing anything incorrectly. Jarrod noted no one had registered a complaint with
90 the city in previous years, and he also noted there is a 10:30 p.m. curfew.

91

92 Fred asked Jarrod how close the trail is to the Great River Residences.

93

94 Jarrod told Fred the trail runs below the Great River Residences on 1st Avenue, the roadway
95 located between the railroad tracks and the Great River Residences. Jarrod said he believes it is
96 prudent to have a 10:30 p.m. curfew, and he told board members that if necessary, the curfew
97 hours may be changed if the city receives complaints.

98

99 Ald. Wulf asked Jarrod if Marvin Wanders, the developer of the Great River Residences, is
100 aware of the snowmobile trail.

101

102 Jarrod told Ald. Wulf that representatives from the Coulee Sno-Drifters Snowmobile Club had
103 spoken with Marvin when he had presented his first conceptual plan at a meeting.

104

105 Motion by Ald. Nott, second by Mayor K. Smith, to approve snowmobile trail within the City of
106 Onalaska for the 2020-21 winter season.

107

108 Mayor K. Smith noted the same rules that were in place in previous years apply for the 2020-21
109 winter season, including proof of insurance.

110

111 Jarrod told Mayor K. Smith she is correct and said city staff will obtain proof of insurance from
112 the Coulee Sno-Drifters Snowmobile Club, as well as go over the yearly rules with club
113 members.

114

115 On voice vote, motion carried.

116

117 **Item 5 – Review and consideration of parking restrictions related to mail delivery**

118

119 Mayor K. Smith noted she has had discussions with the postmaster regarding parking
120 restrictions, and she told board members that per her last discussion, she felt as though she and
121 the postmaster had a different idea about what was going wrong with both mail delivery and
122 parking. Mayor K. Smith said that had led her to ask the postmaster, the alderpersons, and City
123 of Onalaska staff to compile all the actual complaints received over a six-month period.

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, September 1, 2020

4

124
125 Mayor K. Smith said, "I felt like we were trying to solve a problem that we didn't have all the
126 front-end information on. In doing that, I actually had one alderperson give me one address of a
127 person who had had multiple complaints over time. And I had another alderperson give me a
128 reference to some neighbors who had had a problem in the past, so like a non-specific kind of
129 complaint. I actually only came out with one address with a specific complaint, with the
130 potential for maybe another one. And in talking with the postmaster, I think if he was here right
131 now, he would love us to put 'no parking' around every mailbox in town. That would be perfect
132 for the mail carriers, and [it would] make their job a lot easier. But we understand that's not very
133 practical. I really don't think we should take any action at this time. I think we should continue
134 to monitor this, and we should continue to listen to the community. If we can come forward and
135 have some specific areas where there is a problem more than just a one-off where something
136 happened and they didn't get their mail. I understand it's probably happening around town
137 occasionally. But I think if we're going to make a parking regulation, it should be over
138 something where it's a chronic problem where we can take action to help several people. My
139 position right now is I think we should delay action on this for the indefinite future."

140
141 Jarrod noted someone had attempted to contact him via telephone Monday and he and this
142 individual had attempted to call back each other, but they had not made contact. Jarrod also told
143 board members he agrees with Mayor K. Smith and said, "It's a difficult situation, and there's no
144 easy fix that's not going to have far-reaching impacts not only to pretty much all citizens in
145 regard to parking on city streets and city staff time for signage or enforcement or anything like
146 that."

147
148 Ald. Wulf, who serves the Second District, noted it is one of her constituents who has had
149 longstanding challenges with receiving his mail, and asked board members if the Board of Public
150 Works is looking at delaying any action for an indefinite period of time.

151
152 Mayor K. Smith told Ald. Wulf, "What I'm saying is I don't think it's appropriate for us to take
153 action if it will affect the entire city. If there is a specific action that can help this individual,
154 perhaps that is something that can be discussed. But I don't think we should do any kind of
155 citywide new rule about how to put your mailbox or park. I think it should be considered an
156 individual's issue, not a citywide issue."

157
158 Ald. Wulf noted this item also had appeared at the Administrative and Judiciary Committee level
159 and someone had asked if this is a City of Onalaska issue, or if this is a United States Postal
160 Service issue. Ald. Wulf said she cannot disagree with Mayor K. Smith and Jarrod and stated
161 she is very hesitant to take any action that would affect the entire city as "it would just be a
162 domino effect." Ald. Wulf further stated she also is very hesitant to remove parking from the
163 street as the city will end up with very little parking once the city attempts to craft something.

164

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, September 1, 2020

5

165 Mayor K. Smith said she had told the postmaster exactly what Ald. Wulf had just stated, and she
166 told board members that from the postmaster's point of view, the USPS' jurisdiction only covers
167 delivering the mail. Mayor K. Smith also said the USPS does not want its postal workers to
168 double park or place themselves in situations where they are endangering themselves. Mayor K.
169 Smith said, "This gets back to the issue where my conception isn't that the whole entire block is
170 full of cars. I feel that there probably would room for them to park there, but I don't deliver the
171 mail. If they don't feel it's safe for them to drop it off there, then I don't think they should. I
172 know the postmaster feels that their best recourse is not to deliver the mail in those situations."

173
174 Ald. Nott asked if the board may take action individually as these challenges arise, and he said
175 that while this might not be a chronic problem for the City of Onalaska, it is a chronic problem
176 for some of its citizens, and he also noted they would have a different perspective on that. Ald.
177 Nott again asked if any action may be taken as situations arise and perhaps paint curbs in the
178 instances where these situations are occurring. Ald. Nott asked, "What can we do to help these
179 people? They need to get their mail."

180
181 Jarrod said city staff will follow a policy decision if there is a directive. However, Jarrod noted
182 the individual to which Ald. Wulf had referred resides near a school (Irving Pertzsch
183 Elementary), and he also noted the curb likely is snow-covered four months of the year. Jarrod
184 told board members signage would be necessary, and he said, "If you're going to sign it ... If
185 you have one or two, it doesn't sound bad. But then if the word gets out, is it going to be 25?
186 Fifty? Where is it going to end? There is no criteria; it's all subjective. I can't be out there and
187 know their mail didn't get delivered. It may be that they don't want their neighbor parking in
188 front of their mailbox just because it's in front of their house. I can't be there when the mail is
189 getting delivered, so if we start it we have to basically give it to everybody who requests it. It
190 can be done. It's just a matter of, where do you start? Where do you stop?"

191
192 Ald. Nott said he still is not convinced that the USPS ultimately cannot be the resolution to this
193 situation, stating, "If we're literally talking about three or four residents in the entire city, I don't
194 understand why they can't figure out a safe way to deliver that mail. This is, 'Neither rain nor
195 snow nor sleet.' In this case, it's like, unless it's inconvenient because somebody is parked there,
196 in which case we're not going to deliver the mail, that just goes against everything I've
197 understood against the Post Office. I'm just confused. If it really is only two or three people,
198 why cannot the Post Office deal with this? And do we still need to go to a federal level of
199 response on this? It's just confusing to me."

200
201 Jarrod said, "If you're thinking outside the box, you're to the point where the mail delivery ...
202 They discontinued walking service in the City of Onalaska ... probably 15 years ago. We used
203 to have walking service to most of the city. But for cost reasons, they got rid of that. The other
204 thing you do is somehow incorporate ... In the newer subdivisions they make them put one
205 mailbox on every block, and everybody has a slot and you don't have your own individual

206 mailbox. There are other ways of doing it out there, but where do you start and where do you
207 stop, and how do you decide who pays for what?"

208
209 Ald. Nott said, "I have trouble with the standard solution for government at all levels; in this
210 case, it would be federal defaulting to the 'one size fits all.' In this case, obviously one size does
211 not fit all. We're talking two or three individuals. I just don't understand why the Post Office
212 can't make some exceptions and get out and deliver the mail on the occasions that those
213 mailboxes are blocked by cars. We're not talking about a citywide problem. ... I don't know
214 why the Post Office can't understand it's not a citywide problem, so just deal with it. If they're
215 not willing to, I still think maybe we have a Congressman to go to."

216
217 Ald. Wulf said she believes she and Ald. Stevens will have to follow up with the Second District
218 constituent.

219
220 **Item 6 – Review and consideration of the operation of golf carts on City streets**

221
222 Jarrod noted that while the City of Onalaska Ordinances were being updated, the transportation
223 section, which includes golf carts, had been examined. Jarrod said he, Amanda, and Police
224 Department Sergeant Tim Berg all had examined the ordinance, and he noted there are different
225 vehicles such as ATVs and UTVs that are governed by the Wisconsin Department of Natural
226 Resources for licensing. There also are neighborhood electric vehicles, which are larger golf
227 carts, resemble a moving vehicle, and are licensed by the State of Wisconsin. Golf carts are
228 addressed in the ordinance. Jarrod noted board members' packets include a map that shows the
229 streets where golf carts are prohibited as they may not be operated on any street with a posted
230 speed limit of more than 25 miles per hour. They also may not be operated on a state highway,
231 including a connecting highway (e.g. 2nd Avenue, Main Street). The city may designate a
232 crossing of a 25 mph state highway, and Jarrod said that as part of the map city staff is proposing
233 one crossing at 11th Avenue and Main Street.

234
235 Ald. Wulf asked, "We've never had a designated crossing before?"

236
237 Jarrod told Ald. Wulf that is correct and said the city has never issued permits. Jarrod said he
238 does not know how many years this has been in the city's ordinances, and he noted no one had
239 ever requested a permit before either three or four were issued within the last year.

240
241 Ald. Wulf said she assumes it is possible there have been citizens operating golf carts, but they
242 did not know licensing was necessary, nor did the city follow up and properly license the golf
243 carts. Ald. Wulf said, "But now that we know better, hopefully we'll do better."

244
245 Jarrod told Ald. Wulf she is correct.

246

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, September 1, 2020

7

247 Ald. Wulf asked Jarrod if he wants the board to approve Chapter 7 in the City of Onalaska's
248 Code of Ordinances as well as the map that is included in board members' packets.

249

250 Jarrod noted Chapter 7 already is part of the City of Onalaska's Code of Ordinances and said he
251 does not believe it needs to be approved unless board members wish to make changes to it.

252 Jarrod said he is seeking approval of the map as well as the crossing at 11th Avenue and Main
253 Street.

254

255 Ald. Wulf asked Jarrod how he had determined that the crossing would be located at 11th Avenue
256 South and Main Street.

257

258 Jarrod told Ald. Wulf that after discussing the subject with Police Sergeant Berg, 11th Avenue
259 South and Main Street was determined to be the best location for a crossing going north to south.
260 Jarrod said individuals would be granted access to the western half of the city, and he reiterated
261 no crossing may occur on a street with a posted speed limit of more than 25 mph. Jarrod said no
262 one may cross at State Trunk Highway 157 and U.S. Highway 53, nor may anyone cross at STH
263 16. Jarrod said there were no other locations where an adequate crossing could be facilitated.

264

265 Motion by Mayor K. Smith, second by Ald. Nott, to approve the proposed golf cart map and
266 crossing located at 11th Avenue South and Main Street.

267

268 On voice vote, motion carried.

269

270 **Item 7 – Review and consideration of Professional Engineering construction services for**
271 **2020 Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition upgrades and Green Coulee Reservoir**
272 **project**

273

274 Jarrod noted a copy of a proposal from Strand Associates in the amount of \$14,000 has been
275 included in board members' packets. The proposal includes doing the graphics for the Green
276 Coulee Reservoir project, which currently is under construction, and also the 2020 SCADA
277 project, which is being performed by LW Allen – Altronex. The SCADA system master panel
278 graphics are updated by Strand Associates, which has been the sole provider for that work since
279 the system was installed in 2005. Funds from the construction of the aforementioned projects
280 would be utilized.

281

282 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Nott, to approve Strand Associates for professional
283 engineering construction services for 2020 Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition upgrades
284 and Green Coulee Reservoir project in an amount not to exceed \$14,000.

285

286 On voice vote, motion carried.

287

288 **Item 8 – Review and consideration of the agreement for general engineering services with**
289 **Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc.**

290
291 Jarrod noted the general engineering services with SEH is in an amount not to exceed \$7,000.
292 Jarrod also noted that while the city previously had had a contract approved in 2019, the amount
293 (\$5,000) had been exhausted. Jarrod said this agreement is for miscellaneous work such as water
294 system modeling, traffic work, and other miscellaneous services as they arise throughout the
295 year. Funds for those service either would be withdrawn from the operating budgets for the
296 different utilities or street or the project funds for those projects.

297
298 Motion by Ald. Nott, second by Jarrod, to approve an agreement for general engineering services
299 with Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$7,000.

300
301 On voice vote, motion carried.

302
303 **Item 9 – Review and consideration of the final 2020 special assessment costs**

304
305 Jarrod said these are the final assessment costs for the 2020 miscellaneous concrete project.
306 These are normal assessments the city performs yearly.

307
308 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Nott, to approve the final 2020 special assessment costs for the
309 miscellaneous concrete project.

310
311 On voice vote, motion carried.

312
313 **Item 10 – Review and consideration of the 2021-2025 Capital Improvements Budget**

314
315 Jarrod noted board members' packets include copies of the completed 2021-2025 proposed
316 Capital Improvements Budget. Jarrod also noted the proposed CIB typically is distributed at the
317 September Board of Public Works meeting. Board members review the projects, ask city staff
318 questions about the projects, and obtain information about the projects. Board members will be
319 asked at the October 6 Board of Public Works meeting to be prepared to start going through the
320 projects individually and make decisions with which projects they would like to proceed. The
321 2021 CIB would be finalized at the November 3 Board of Public Works meeting, arriving at a
322 financial figure that board members believe is within the city's budgetary constraints. The
323 proposed 2021 CIB would be brought forward for a proposed public hearing at the December 1
324 Board of Public Works meeting.

325
326 Jarrod noted that slightly less than \$10 million in projects is being proposed in the 2021 CIB, and
327 he reminded board members the city typically bonds for approximately \$3.5 million in General
328 Fund projects. Jarrod also referred to the 2022 through 2025 CIBs and reminded board members

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, September 1, 2020

9

329 that projects do not disappear. These projects include facilities, street improvements, utility
330 improvements, parks projects, and equipment replacement. Jarrod commended the city's
331 department heads for submitting their projects and costs, and he admitted difficult decisions will
332 have to be made in the 2021 CIB. Jarrod said he believes all the projects have merit, and he
333 noted the City of Onalaska has always prided itself on maintaining its infrastructure (e.g. streets,
334 sewer system, water system, equipment) at a high level. Jarrod said that is one reason why the
335 City of Onalaska is able to provide a high level of service, and also offer the services it offers.

336
337 Ald. Wulf noted the Board of Public Works typically begins deleting proposed capital projects at
338 its October meeting.

339

340 **Item 11 – Review and consideration of the 2020 Miscellaneous Concrete ADA project**

341

342 Kevin told board members the bid opening had occurred earlier Tuesday, and the following two
343 bids were received: \$58,410 from Fowler & Hammer, and \$84,015 from Pember Companies.
344 Kevin said that while it is late in the year to be bringing forward a project such as this one, there
345 still is an open capital improvements project through the Parks Department for some ADA work
346 in preparation for the winter months.

347

348 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Nott, to award Fowler & Hammer the 2020 Miscellaneous
349 Concrete ADA project in the amount of \$58,410.

350

351 Mayor K. Smith inquired about the budgeted amount.

352

353 Jarrod said the original engineer's estimate was slightly more than \$50,000, and he noted the
354 bids came in close to what staff was expecting, meaning slightly more than what was estimated.
355 Jarrod said, "We have the capital projects for the ADA project. We also have some concrete
356 work we're going to finish, utilizing funds from the General Fund Utility Project. We also have
357 some catch-basin work, which the Storm Water Utility will pay for. We'll make sure we stay
358 within what we have budgeted. Most likely this project will be continued throughout the end of
359 the year. We won't be doing all the work that's probably in that bid. We just won't be able to
360 get it all done."

361

362 On voice vote, motion carried.

363

364 **Item 12 – Pay Estimates: Strand Associates, Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc., Mathy**
365 **Construction, Gerke Excavating, MSA Professional Service, Stieger Construction, State of**
366 **Wisconsin DOT, Badger Swimpools, Inc., CTW Corporation, Braun Intertec, All-**
367 **American Lumber, Inc., Ledegar Roofing, Livermore Technologies, and any other**
368 **contractor/developer**

369

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS
MONTHLY ESTIMATES

September 1, 2020

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Original Contract Amount</u>	<u>Change Orders</u>	<u>Paid to Date</u>	<u>Due this Estimate</u>
1. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
Stormwater Quality Management Plan Design Estimate #7	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	\$ 27,118.47	\$ 10,532.10
2. MSA PROFESSIONAL SERVICES				
Green Coulee Intersection Design – Final Estimate #6	\$ 180,615.00	\$ -	\$ 50,728.40	\$ 22,872.61
3. MCCABE CONSTRUCTION, INC.				
2020 Utility Project Construction Estimate #5	\$ 1,634,976.70	\$ -	\$ 872,184.01	\$ 158,748.80
4. STEIGER CONSTRUCTION				
2020 Misc. Concrete Project Construction Estimate #4	\$ 84,612.50	\$ -	\$ 76,484.66	\$ 5,292.20
5. LIVERMORE TECHNOLOGIES				
Fiber Cable Project Design Estimate #5	\$ 18,010.00	\$ -	\$ 10,092.50	\$ 3,685.00
6. SEH INC.				
Green Coulee Reservoir Design – Final Estimate #5	\$ 97,000.00	\$ -	\$ 94,483.42	\$ 2,031.34
7. STATE OF WI – DOT				
STH 157-SS				

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**
Tuesday, September 1, 2020
11

411	Construction				
412	(Project #1070-04-75)				
413	Estimate #3	\$ 630,000.00	\$ -	\$ 71,013.04	\$ 229,042.95
414					
415	8. SEH INC.				
416	2020 Utility Project				
417	Construction				
418	Estimate #2	\$ 18,227.20	\$ -	\$ 4,401.64	\$ 1,614.27
419					
420	9. SEH INC.				
421	2020 Unidirectional Flushing Plan				
422	Design				
423	Estimate #2	\$ 18,200.00	\$ -	\$ 14,990.00	\$ 2,675.00
424					
425	10. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
426	2018 SCADA Enhancements				
427	Design				
428	Estimate #12	\$ 19,000.00	\$ -	\$ 18,920.89	\$ 1,072.61
429					
430	11. MATHY CONSTRUCTION				
431	2020 Pavement Project				
432	Construction				
433	Estimate #2	\$ 777,115.10	\$ -	\$ 457,624.20	\$ 161,405.74
434					
435	12. SEH INC.				
436	Green Coulee Reservoir				
437	Construction				
438	Estimate #1	\$ 57,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 664.71
439					
440	13. BADGER SWIMPOOLS INC.				
441	2020 Aquatic Center Resurfacing				
442	Construction				
443	Estimate #2	\$ 229,690.00	\$ -	\$ 131,646.96	\$ 86,558.54
444					
445	14. CTW CORPORATION				
446	Well #9 Cleaning				
447	Construction				
448	Estimate #1	\$ 64,660.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 59,420.00
449					
450	15. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
451	2020 Lift Station SCADA Graphics				

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**
Tuesday, September 1, 2020
12

452	Construction				
453	Estimate #1	\$ 7,400.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 400.00
454					
455	16. SEH INC.				
456	Wastewater Feasibility Study				
457	Design				
458	Estimate #1	\$ 24,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,675.00
459					
460	17. BRAUN INTERTEC				
461	Green Coulee Intersection Soil Boring				
462	Design				
463	Estimate #2	\$ 5,125.00	\$ -	\$ 4,612.50	\$ 512.50
464					
465	18. MSA PROFESSIONAL SERVICES				
466	Green Coulee Intersection				
467	Design				
468	Estimate #9	\$ 91,458.00	\$ -	\$ 57,234.91	\$ 763.88
469					
470	19. ALL-AMERICAN LUMBER, INC.				
471	Public Works Facility Addition				
472	Construction				
473	Estimate #10	\$ 1,155,183.00	\$ 19,521.79	\$ 1,128,090.21	\$ 17,246.96
474					
475	20. LEDEGAR ROOFING				
476	City Hall Roof Project				
477	Construction				
478	Estimate #1	\$ 248,197.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 117,893.58
479					
480	21. GERKE EXCAVATING, INC.				
481	2019 Utility Project				
482	Construction				
483	Estimate #8	\$ 1,287,302.00	\$ 44,440.40	\$ 1,083,662.39	\$ 7,335.00
484					

485 Motion by Mayor K. Smith, second by Ald. Nott, to approve the 21 pay estimates dated
486 September 1, 2020.

487
488 On voice vote, motion carried.

489
490 **Adjournment**

491
492 Motion by Fred, second by Ald. Wulf, to adjourn at 7:12 p.m.

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**
Tuesday, September 1, 2020
13

493
494 On voice vote, motion carried.
495
496
497 Recorded by:
498
499 Kirk Bey